

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspile.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/833,314	04/10/2001	Christophe Dupont	112701-239	4353
29157 7590 01/09/2009 BELL, BOYD & LLOYD LLP P.O. Box 1135			EXAMINER	
			WEINSTEIN, STEVEN L	
CHICAGO, IL 60690			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/09/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PATENTS@BELLBOYD.COM

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte: CHRISTOPHE DUPONT, MARC DAMBRINE, LAURENT SISIAK, THIERRY BAHAUX, STEPHEN MAY AND STEVEN DINGMAN

> Application No. 09/833,314 Technology Center 1700

Mailed: January 8, 2009

Before TOI JOHNSON Review Paralegal JOHNSON, Review Paralegal.

ORDER RETURNING UNDOCKETED APPEAL TO EXAMINER

This application was electronically received by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on May 28, 2008. A review of the application revealed that it is not ready for docketing as an appeal. Accordingly, the

application is herewith being returned to the Examiner to address the following matter(s) requiring attention prior to docketing.

EXAMINER'S ANSWER, EVIDENCE RELIED UPON

Section §1207.02 of the *Manual of Patent Examining Procedure* (MPEP) (Eighth Edition, Rev. 6, September 2007) states:

(A) CONTENT REQUIREMENTS FOR EXAMINER'S ANSWER. The examiner's answer is required to include, under appropriate headings, in the order indicated, the following items:

. . . .

(8) Evidence Relied Upon. A listing of the evidence relied on (e.g., patents, publications, admitted prior art), and in the case of nonpatent references, the relevant page or pages.

The Examiner's Answer mailed October 23, 2007 is deficient because the "Evidence Relied Upon" section fails to include the references Henkel (GB 1486634) and Mandandas (94/26606) cited on page 4 in the Examiner's Answer's grounds of rejection of claims 1-17 under 35 USC 103(a).

Appropriate correction is required.

CONCLUSION

Accordingly, it is ORDERED that the application is returned to the Examiner:

 issue a PTOL-90 citing the missing references listed under the Evidence Relied Upon section, paragraph (8); and Application No. 09/833,314

2) such further action as may be required.

If there are any questions pertaining to this Order, please contact the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences at 571-272-9797.

BELL, BOYD & LLOYD LLP P.O. Box 1135 CHICAGO, IL 60690